

Metadata for the replication of:

Buntaine, Mark T. and Bradley C. Parks. 2013. When Do Environmentally-Focused Assistance Projects Achieve their Objectives? Evidence from World Bank Post-Project Evaluations. *Global Environmental Politics* 13(2): 67-90.

Project data

Project data is derived from the World Bank's external project data portal. Available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/projects-portfolio> (Accessed March 2013)

<i>pid</i>	This is the unique ID assigned to the project by the World Bank.
<i>country</i>	Country where the project was implemented.
<i>country.code</i>	Three character country code used in World Bank databases.
<i>approval.FY</i>	The fiscal year that the project was approved.
<i>exit.FY</i>	The fiscal year that the project was closed.
<i>amount.mil</i>	The total amount of financing supported by the World Bank's International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the International Development Association in current millions of USD.
<i>ibrd.amount</i>	The amount of financing supported by the World Bank's International Bank for Reconstruction and Development in current millions of USD.
<i>ida.amount</i>	The amount of financing supported by the World Bank's International Development Association in current millions of USD.
<i>concessional</i>	The proportion of World Bank financing from the International Development Association, which makes concessional loans below market rates.
<i>global.prop</i>	The proportion of the project assigned to climate change and biodiversity themes, as described in the section of the paper on data sources.
<i>env_pct</i>	The percent of the projects financing intended for environmental purposes, as calculated by Powers et al. (2009).

Independent Evaluation Group project evaluation data

Most evaluation data is derived from the Independent Evaluation Group's public evaluation dataset. Available at: <http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/content/ieg/en/home/ratings.html> (Accessed March 2013)

<i>tri.outcome</i>	This is a three level factor that condenses the outcome rating given to the project by the Independent Evaluation Group. For ratings "Highly Satisfactory" and "Satisfactory" the value is 3. For ratings "Marginally Satisfactory" and "Marginally Unsatisfactory" the value is 2. For ratings "Unsatisfactory" and "Highly Unsatisfactory" the value is 1.
<i>IEG.outcome.bin</i>	This is a two level factor that condenses the outcome rating given to the project by the Independent Evaluation Group. For ratings "Highly Satisfactory" and "Satisfactory" the value is 1. For ratings "Marginally Satisfactory" through "Highly Unsatisfactory" the value is 0.
<i>IEG.outcome.bin2</i>	This is a two level factor that condenses the outcome rating given to the project by the Independent Evaluation Group. For ratings "Highly Satisfactory" through "Marginally Unsatisfactory" the value is 1. For ratings "Unsatisfactory" and "Highly Unsatisfactory" the value is 0.
<i>IEG.qs.tri</i>	This is a three level factor that condenses the quality of supervision rating given to the project by the Independent Evaluation Group. For ratings "Highly Satisfactory" and "Satisfactory" the value is 3. For ratings "Marginally Satisfactory" and "Marginally Unsatisfactory" the value is 2. For ratings "Unsatisfactory" and "Highly Unsatisfactory" the value is 1.
<i>IEG.EvalType</i>	The ratings assigned to each project come from the most extensive evaluation completed by the Independent Evaluation Group. If the full independent evaluation was completed, the value will be "1PAR" and if only a summary evaluation was completed, the value will be "2ES."

Governance Indicators

The country-level governance indicators were derived from the following sources and averaged for all available years between approval and exit.

Worldwide Governance Indicators: <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/worldwide-governance-indicators> (Accessed April 2013).

ICRG Governance Indicators: http://www.prsgroup.com/ICRG_methodology.aspx (Accessed April 2013).

Freedom House Indicators: <http://www.freedomhouse.org/report-types/freedom-world> (Accessed April 2013).

<i>WBI.govteff</i>	Worldwide Governance Indicator for government effectiveness.
<i>WBI.regqual</i>	Worldwide Governance Indicator for regulatory quality.
<i>WBI.corr</i>	Worldwide Governance Indicator for control of corruption.
<i>WBI.rulelaw</i>	Worldwide Governance Indicator for rule of law.
<i>ICRG.burqual</i>	ICRG indicator for bureaucratic quality.
<i>FH.polrights</i>	Freedom House indicator for political rights.
<i>FH.civillib</i>	Freedom House indicator for civil liberties.

Environmental Non-Governmental Organization Data

<i>ENGOS</i>	This takes on the number of environmental NGOs in the last available count following the approval year from <i>The Environment Encyclopedia and Directory</i> , London: Routledge, 1 st -5 th Eds.
<i>ENGOS.pmil</i>	This is the previous value divided by millions of the country's population, as recorded in the World Bank's Worldwide Development Indicators.

Adjusted Net Savings Data

Data from the Adjusted Net Saving project that estimate the economic costs of environmental degradation and resource use. All values are averages between the year of project approval and completion. Data available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/environmental-accounting> (Accessed April 2013).

<i>forest.depletion</i>	The decline in the value of forests from conversion and extraction.
<i>PM10.damage</i>	The health damages caused by particulate air pollution.
<i>env.damage</i>	The combination of the two previous values.